

## European Maritime Safety Agency

Lisbon, 05 December 2007 Ref: F1/Ops/Stats/Nov07

## SafeSeaNet monthly report

### November 2007

#### 1. Background information

The purpose of the report is to produce on a monthly basis, specific measurable elements and figures giving a full, clear and current picture of the SafeSeaNet situation. The report may be further analysed by EMSA, the Commission and the MS for extracting conclusions on the usability of SSN system.

As announced during the SSN 8 workshop (Lisbon 24 and 25 October 2007), the V1.9 production site was successfully deployed on 4<sup>th</sup> of December 2007. This version is the final outcome of the long process started at the beginning of 2005 when it was recognised that the existing SSN application had to be stabilised and corrected. The new version will create a faster system able to deal with the expected raise of messages and will facilitate SSN evolution in terms of upgrading and integration with other systems. The main improvements could be summarised as follows:

- The web interface has been completely renovated,
- Implemented a more comprehensible and user friendly management of NCAs, their attached LCAs and users (establishing the hierarchy).
- A new complete statistic facility is implemented,
- Error messages will be recorded and easily analysed
- Vessels, ports and SSN users activities will be easily monitored and analysed.
- A new management concept for databases is implemented (vessel, locodes and users) to avoid detected problems in former versions.

The new version was developed using industry proven technologies complying with the most recent standards. SSN V1.9 is expected to improve significantly the system performance, scalability, robustness and easier software maintainability.

This report presents the last set data obtained through the old version of SSN. The next monthly report will be generated using the SSN V 1.9.

#### 2. Type of information

All bellow information was produced through the SSN application with the support of the ICT pillar.

#### 2.1. Notifications

The table 1 gives a picture of the notifications provided by Member States to SSN per message type and interface.

COUNTRY	INTERFACE	SHI		PORT	HAZMAT	ALERT	SECURITY	TOTAL
COONTRI		AIS	MRS	1 OKI	TIAZWAT	ALLINI	SECONT	TOTAL
Belgium	XML	152,776		5,334	490			158,600
Denmark	XML	343,939			482			344,421
Finland	XML			9,254	480			9,734
Germany	XML	935			1,894			2,829
Greece	Web			1				1
Iceland	XML		1	1	1			3
Ireland	XML				69	3		72
Italy	XML		11,752	3,527	72			15,351
Lithuania	XML	265		1,962	283			2,510
Malta	XML	16,760		900				17,660
Netherlands	Web			196	82	4		282
Netherlands	XML	341,925		12,111	2,417			356,453
Norway	XML	412,067		1,558	721			414,346
Poland	XML	117,148		4,043	1,519		2,928	125,638
Portugal	Web			107				107
Portugal	XML			820	199			1,019
Romania	Web			546	81			627
Slovenia	Web		137	278	7			422
Spain	XML			17,657	885			18,542
Sweden	XML	8,434		9,033	595			18,062
TOT	AL	1,394,249	11,890	67,328	10,277	7	2,928	1,486,679

#### Table 1 - Notifications SSN (Nov.2007)

#### EMSA comment

Web interface is being used to provide notifications by some LCAs of The Netherlands, Portugal, Romania and Slovenia. Slovenia and Romania continue using the web interface for providing notifications to SSN but are planning to introduce XML interfaces. Fifteen countries introduced XML interfaces and fourteen are using it actively. Germany and Lithuania has started to provide AIS Ship Notifications for production site.

Conclusions of the above table:

There are MS not sending notifications regularly such as Bulgaria, Cyprus, Estonia, France, Iceland, Greece, Latvia and the United Kingdom. The reasons are:

- **Bulgaria**: They have expressed their intention to start their national development (XML interface). There is a procurement activity on-going.
- **Cyprus**: the first SSN contract has been concluded in July'07.
- Estonia: no action reported.
- France: Is currently testing connection of the national system with SSN version 1.9
- **Iceland**: is after commissioning tests passed (August 07). Work is in progress to join production site on the continuous basis.
- **Greece**: still in testing.
- Latvia: is under tendering procedure to join SSN.
- **Romania**: On July Romania requested to enter into production through the Web interface and is using the interface actively since then providing Port and Hazmat Notifications. Romania notified their intention to start their national development (XML interface).

• **The United Kingdom**: has passed commissioning tests for all types of messages.

#### Figure 1 – Notifications per Type

Figure 2 – Notifications: Nov.06/Nov.07





#### 2.2. Requests

The table 2 gives a picture of the requests made by Member States to SSN per message type and interface.

COUNTRY	INTERFACE	SHIP	PORT	HAZMAT	ALERT	SECURITY	TOTAL
					ALENT		
Belgium	Web	107	12	3		1	123
Denmark	Web	6		1			7
Denmark	XML		3	6	5		14
Finland	Web	35	4	1			40
Germany	Web	105		2		1	108
Germany	XML	2		1			3
Greece	Web	16	3				19
Ireland	XML		3	1	10		14
Italy	Web	5	1	2			8
Italy	XML	3	2	10			15
Malta	Web	68	28	1			97
Netherlands	Web	355	18	11		1	385
Norway	Web	4		2			6
Norway	XML		86	65,758			65,844
Poland	Web	7		4			11
Poland	XML	6	14	90			110
Portugal	Web	142	3	1			146
Romania	Web	759					759
Slovenia	Web	484	4				488
Spain	Web	32	10	6			48
Sweden	Web	30	7	2			39
European							
Commission	Web	379	77	60	6	1	523
TOT	AL	2,545	275	65,962	21	4	68,807

#### Table 2 - Requests SSN (Nov.2007)

#### EMSA comment

The web interface is most commonly used by the Member States to request information. Norway, Germany, Denmark, Italy and Poland are using their Xml interface for the request functionality. Number of information requested is growing. Most popular requests are for HAZMAT and Ship Notifications. Other Member states are using web interface actively to obtain data from SSN.

Figure 3 – Requests per Type

Figure 4 – Requests: Nov.06/Nov.07



## 2.3. LOCODEs per MS and the number of notification (port and HAZMAT) associated with these LOCODEs

In this chapter the notifications sent to SSN are analysed according to the next port of call LOCODE mentioned in the Port and Hazmat notifications. The information is grouped by three categories, European ports, non European ports and unknown ports. The top 10 EU ports are also displayed in the table.

COUNTRY	LOCODE		PORT	HAZMAT	TOTAL
	EU	Top 10 Ports	6	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
NETHERLANDS	NLRTM	Rotterdam	7,326	2,435	9,761
SPAIN	ESLPA	Las Palmas	4,219	516	4,735
BELGIUM	BEZEE	Zeebruge	3,201	10	3,211
ITALY	ITAUG	Augusta	3,004	0	3,004
FINLAND	FIHEL	Helsinki	2,572	214	2,786
NETHERLANDS	NLVLI	Vlissingen	2,396	20	2,416
LITHUANIA	LTKLJ	Klaipeda	1,953	317	2,270
SPAIN	ESALG	Algeciras	2,118	16	2,134
POLAND	PLGDY	Gdynia	1,296	684	1,980
SPAIN	ESBCN	Barcelona	1,407	124	1,531
EU Ports			66,395	9,122	75,517
Non EU Ports			0	304	304
Port unknown	UNKWN		932	592	1,524

#### Table 3 – Port and Hazmat Notifications per LOCODE (Nov.2007)

#### EMSA comment

The table shows the proportion of Port and HAZMAT notifications by LOCODE. However as the next port of call is not mandatory information in HAZMAT (according to the current XML Reference Guide), if the vessel is bound for a non EU port, "port unknown" has a higher proportion. In comparison with previous months the proportion of the "UNKWN" LOCODE in Port Notifications decreased due to the follow-up actions performed by the Maritime Support Services (MSS) and due to the corrections on the national level.

# 2.4. Availability of the SSN EIS (H/W, S/W, communications etc) and the response time (diagram)

During the reporting period, the average response time of SSN in production environment, was between **1.55 and 1.65** seconds.

The standard response time and the minimum acceptable response time have yet to be defined. After definition of the above, information about the specific periods (date/time) when degradation of the system took place (response time below the minimum acceptable response time) will be produced. This data can only be gathered using the resources available at the Data Centre.

To supplement the limited information currently provided through the "Mirella" web site, EMSA developed a test tool. This test probe consists, in fact, on the test client tool available since last year, programmed to send a message to the production site every ten minutes. The results are presented in the next table <u>and only refer</u> to the production environment. Each record on the table represents a failed attempt to communicate with SSN.

#### Table 4 – SSN Availability – Periods of Interruption (Nov.2007)

MDAY	MONTH	YEAR	Period of Interruption (min.)	FROM	то
19	11	2007	53	19/11/2007 14:00	19/11/2007 14:53
23	11	2007	34	23/11/2007 14:48	23/11/2007 15:22

#### EMSA comment

Care should be taken when interpreting this information, because the results may be biased due to the connectivity conditions between DIGIT and EMSA. Furthermore, this information only tells that SSN is responding to a simple message, which does not even assure for SSN full operational capability (meaning that this does not represent that SSN responds to the request).

#### 2.5. Error Analysis

For technical reasons reliable analysis of the errors couldn't be provided for the month of November.

#### 2.6. Ship database and new entrees during the previous month

The total lists of ships recorded in SafeSeaNet database with their IMO number, MMSI, ship's name and call sign has now a total of 30,616 records.

	New vessels	Updated vessels	TOTAL	var (%)
Feb-07	554	5,025	22,306	2.55%
Mar-07	1,256	4,553	23,008	3.15%
Apr-07	842	4,487	23,850	3.66%
May-07	1,096	6,260	24,946	4.60%
Jun-07	2,274	7,517	27,220	9.12%
Jul-07	744	6,407	27,964	2.73%
Aug-07	805	5,825	28,769	2.88%
Sep-07	597	5,729	29,366	2.08%
Oct-07	722	4,486	30,088	2.46%
Nov-07	528	7,709	30,616	1.75%

Table 5 – Ship database

#### Figure 5 – Ship database



#### EMSA comment

During last month 528 new vessels were recorded and 7,709 vessels updated, in a total of 8,237 records created/updated (average of 2059 records per week).

#### 2.7. SSN Users

The table in this chapter gives a picture of the SSN registered users by Member State per associated role and interface.

	INTERFACE ROLE TYPE												
COUNTRY													TOTAL
	Web	XML	ADM	ALL	NCA	MIN	POR	CST	PSC	OTH	PMoU	SAR	
Belgium	13	5	1		2		8	2	5				18
Czech Republic	2	0			1	1							2
Denmark	1	1			2								2
European Commis	9	2	5	5							1		11
Finland	27	1		1	2	1	3	18	2			1	28
Germany	1	1			2								2
Greece	1	0			1								1
Iceland	0	1			1								1
Ireland	4	1			2			3					5
Italy	2	1		1	2								3
Lithuania	9	1			1		2		6	1			10
Malta	2	3			4			1					5
Netherlands	17	5			3		11	2	4	1	1		22
Norway	5	2		1	6								7
Poland	1	1			2								2
Portugal	23	23			2		44						46
Romania	9	0			1		5	1		2			9
Slovenia	4	0			1			1	1	1			4
Spain	55	1			2	1		23	30				56
Sweden	1	1			2								2
TOTAL	186	50	6	8	39	3	73	51	48	5	2	1	236

#### Table 6 – SSN Users (Nov.2007)

#### EMSA comment

From the figures above, results that most Member States have not yet introduced in SSN all their users, namely their LCAs (PORT, PSC and CST). However it is worth noting that all the SSN users are not visible in the current version of SafeSeaNet because the same userID may be used by several persons. Belgium, Italy and Ireland have increased number of it's web users since last month.

#### 3. Member States XML status

This table gives the full picture of the situation of each MS regarding the XML status (automatic connection for the message exchange):

lgium Igaria prus nmark tonia iland ince	Port yes no com no	lotifications Hazmat yes no no yes	Ship yes no no yes	Alert no no
lgaria prus nmark tonia Iland	no no com	no no yes	no no	no
prus nmark tonia Iland	no com	no yes	no	
nmark tonia Iland	com	yes		no
tonia Iland			ves	
land	no		,	no
		no	no	no
ince	yes	yes	no	no
	com	com	com	com
rmany	no	yes	yes	no
eece	no	no	no	no
land	com	com	com	no
land	com	com	com	com
ly	yes	yes	yes	yes
tvia	no	no	no	no
huania	yes	yes	yes	no
lta	yes	com	yes	com
therlands	yes	yes	yes	no
rway	yes	yes	yes	no
land	yes	yes	yes	yes
rtugal	yes	yes	no	no
mania(*)	no	no	no	no
ovenia(*)	no	no	no	no
ain	yes	yes	no	no
/eden	yes	yes	yes	no
	com	com	com	com
ov ai /e	renia(*) in eden ed Kingdom	enia(*) no in yes eden yes ed Kingdom com	renia(*) no no in yes yes eden yes yes ed Kingdom com com	renia(*) no no no in yes yes no eden yes yes yes

### Yes: means in production;

*Com:* means commissioned, test successfully completed but not in production;

*No:* means under testing or activities as previously referred.