

Proposal to amend the ETA to next port business rule

SSN 25.4.5

Background

- ▶ Port State Control (PSC)
 - Port Call Information is not completely available in THETIS
 - Notifications rejected, because of Business Rule:
 - $ETA_{toNextPort} > ATD_{fromPortOfCall}$

Current Status

- ▶ Business rule for ETAtNextPort (MS2SSN_PortPlus_Not):
 - **Must be > ATDPortOfCall**
 - Must be > ETDFromPortOfCall
 - Mandatory if HazmatNotificationInfoEUDepartures with HazmatOnBoardYorN = “Y” is provided, unless NextPort=ZZUKN.
 - If NextPort=ZZUKN, value is ignored if provided.

Current Status

- ▶ Reporting Process
 1. Ship reports (estimated) **ETAtoNextPort** before calling the port
 2. Ship enters the port and does its operations
 3. Ship leaves the port and (actual) **ATDPortOfCall** is reported

- ▶ If $ATDPortOfCall < ETAtoNextPort$, all following reported information is rejected by SSN.
 - This means **ATDPortOfCall** itself, so the port call will not be closed in THETIS.
 - All subsequent notifications are rejected.

Proposal

- ▶ An actual value should be considered as more important than a formerly reported estimated value.
- ▶ Relevant data is not available in SSN and in THETIS.
- ▶ This rule should be removed.

EMSA assessment

- ▶ Not only Germany is affected.
 - Substantial amount of port calls without ATD!
- ▶ This business rule can be removed.
 - This will avoid rejections of important information like ATD which is required by Directive 2009/16/EC.
- ▶ Removing the business rule from SSN should not relax the provision of accurate ETAs to Next Port!