European Maritime Safety Agency

PRESENTATION

Workshop on Assessment of Maritime Training Systems

Priority Criteria for Assessments

Directive 2001/25/EC, as amended by Directive 2003/103/EC (art. 18b, p.2)

- The Commission to define priority criteria for assessment of third countries
- On the basis of:
  - Port State Control data pursuant to art. 20 of the Directive
  - Information from the reports of the independent evaluations, according to A-I/7 and A-I/8 STCW Code

Why we need to set up a priority list?

- Up to 1 May 2004, Member States had recognised certificates issued by 35 third countries
- The number of third countries is now around 50
- Reassessments have to be carried out at least every 5 years
- Limited resources
- Our current target is 10 countries per year

Criteria to establish a priority list

- How important the third country is in terms of officers working in EU registered ships?
- How important it is as regards officers certified in that third country and employed in the world fleet?
- Have cases of fraudulent certification been identified?
- How many PSC deficiencies concerning STCW have been detected in ships registered in that third country?
- Are there complaints on the level of training and/or on certification procedures?
- How extensive has the previous assessment been?
- How complex to carry out is an on-site visit?

Estimated percentage of officers from the third country concerned employed in ships registered in the EU Member States in relation to the total number of officers from third countries employed in ships registered in the EU Member States

Score	*Maturity*	*Description*	*Factor (2.8)*
0	Absence	The estimated percentage of officers from the third country concerned is less than 0.5% of the total number of officers from third countries	
1	Initial	The estimated percentage of officers from the third country concerned is 0.5% or more and up to 1% of the total number of officers from third countries	
2	Awareness	The estimated percentage of officers from the third country concerned is more than 1% and up to 5% of the total number of officers from third countries	
3	Important	The estimated percentage of officers from the third country concerned is more than 5% and up to 10% of the total number of officers from third countries	
4	Very important	The estimated percentage of officers from the third country concerned is more than 10% of the total number of officers from third countries but it is not the highest estimated percentage	
5	Crucial	The estimated percentage of officers from the third country concerned is the highest of the total number of officers from third countries	

Group 1

Position	Country	Score
1	The Philippines	30.4
2	Turkey	24
3	Ukraine	22.9
4	Indonesia	21.2
5	Russia	20.4
6	P.R. China	16.8
7	India	16.6
8	Georgia	16.5
9	Egypt	16.3

Group 2

Position	Country	Score
10	Croatia	15.1
11	Pakistan	14.4
12	Bulgaria	12.5
13	Romania	12.4
14	Malaysia	11.9
15	Myanmar	10.9
16	South Korea	9.9

Group 3

Position	Country	Score
17	Senegal	9
18	Jamaica	8.7
19	Iran	8
20	Singapore	7.2
21	Brazil	7
22	Vietnam	6
23	Australia	5.2
24	Hong Kong	4.7
25	USA	4.2
26	Cuba	4
27	Serbia & Montenegro	3.3

Group 4

Position	Country	Score
28	Argentina	1.2
28	Canada	1.2
28	Chile	1.2
28	Madagascar	1.2
28	Mexico	1.2
28	Peru	1.2
28	South Africa	1.2
28	New Zealand	1.2

How do we make the selection?

- Our current target is 10 assessments per year
- The idea is to have countries from all groups:
  - 30 % of countries from group 1 (the more complex)
  - 25 % of countries from group 2
  - 25 % of countries from group 3
  - 20 % of countries from group 4 (the less complex)